Subject: Chat with Jonathan Farley
From: Jonathan Farley <lattice.theory@gmail.com>
To: barriticus@gmail.com

9:35 PM **me**: I'm sending you a link to a private shared Google document with our first round of plans
Jonathan: Okay.
I was going to email you to see if that was spam.
9:36 PM **me**: nope
obviously all of this is secret
Jonathan: OK
me: this is just a simply early operation, and won't come to fruition for a while
9:37 PM **Jonathan**: Nothing shows up when I click on the link.
me: oh
you might need a Gmail account
Jonathan: Now I see it.
me: I recommend you get one anyway
ok
I'm now serving as an advisor to the Wynne LeGrow campaign in VA-04
he's an open atheist
9:38 PM running against Randy Forbes, founder of the Prayer Caucus
let me know if you'd be interested in helping do opposition research, I have links to the congressional records and other available materials
Jonathan: I have a guess but don't know for sure what a "sock puppet" is.
9:39 PM **me**: a false internet identity
Jonathan: Personally, while I did have a friend try to pretend to be a supporter of the United Daughters of the Confederacy to get information from them (I forget what exactly now), in general I am against pretending to be right wing and making stuff up.
9:40 PM **me**: that's not what we're doing
this isn't a false flag sort of deal
Jonathan: OK.
me: the purpose is to get real conservatives to eventually say damaging things to us
Jonathan: I see. OK.
me: and otherwise keep tabs on the bloggers
many of whom are obviously racist
9:41 PM **Jonathan**: OK.
me: but pretend otherwise
9:42 PM we may think up other procedures as time goes on and as opportunities arise, but we won't do anything we wouldn't be comfortable going public with

afterwards
Jonathan: I'll look into LeGrow and Forbes.
me: great, here's a link to get you started
9:43 PM **Jonathan**: My strength is in coming up with ways to attack, but I doubt any Democrat has the guts to accept the advice I'd give.
me: well, they've been somewhat receptive
http://thefastertimes.com/punditry/2010/10/06/atheist-congressional-candidate-takes-on-theocratic-incumbent/
9:46 PM **Jonathan**: I'll read these more carefully, but I am interested in helping. I have a political consulting company, The Warren Group. We are advisors to Alvin Greene currently.
me: Alvin Greene?
have you talked to him?
Jonathan: Yes.
me: what was your impression of him?
9:47 PM **Jonathan**: Since he's a client, it's a bit difficult to answer...
9:48 PM **me**: Is he not clearly a Republican ringer?
this is off the record
Jonathan: Anyway, I support 100% of what is in this essay
http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/cifamerica/2010/aug/27/alvin-greene-south-carolina
9:49 PM and 100% of what's on the website
http://www.alvingreeneforussenator.com/
:)
No, he is not a Republican ringer.
If anything, Jim Clyburn is a Republican ringer.
9:50 PM He is not stupid, but, as I've told him directly and written publicly, he is a bad public speaker and the public has gotten this impression about him.
9:51 PM This belief has been impossible to shake.
In defense of our company, it is not necessarily the case that our advice is always accepted.
9:52 PM **me**: obviously I haven't met the fellow but the very strong impression I received is that he is indeed rather stupid and that he was unable to answer questions about his primary campaign in anything approaching a satisfactory manner
Jonathan: Yeah, he is not stupid.
me: including not being able to list a single campaign event he had undergone ever after claiming he had done such events
9:53 PM **Jonathan**: He may not have done anything.
Vic Rawl was just a bad candidate.
me: I don't know much about Rawl
Jonathan: No one else does either.
That's why he lost.

My brother
's ex-wife voted for Greene.
She didn't know anything about him either.

9:54 PM **me**: about Greene or Vic?

Jonathan: About Greene.
Or Rawl, I bet.

me: I see